### Research Paper



DOI:

10.15740/HAS/ARJCI/5.2/172-175

Visit us: www.researchjournal.co.in

## Field evaluation for bio-efficacy of fenpyroximate 5 EC against leaf hopper and spider mite infesting cotton and their safety to natural enemies

■ H.R. DESAI¹, R.S. SOJITRA, C.J. PATEL¹, I.M. MAISURIA¹ AND V. KUMAR¹

### AUTHORS' INFO

# Associated Co-author:

<sup>1</sup>Main Cotton Research Station, (N.A.U.), Athwa Farm, SURAT (GUJARAT) INDIA

#### Author for correspondence: R.S. SOJITRA

Main Cotton Research Station (N.A.U.), Athwa Farm, SURAT (GUJARAT) INDIA Email: rekhas\_sojitra@yahoo.com ABSTRACT: Fenpyroximate 5 EC at two different doses viz., 25 and 37.5 g a.i. /ha along with imidacloprid 17.8 SL (20 g a.i. /ha) and dicofol 18.5 EC (500 g a.i. /ha) as standard checks for leaf hoppers and spider mites, respectively, were evaluated for bio-efficacy under field condition during Kharif 2012-13 and 2013-14. After three rounds of spraying initiating at ETL of leaf hopper (> 6 / 3 leaves) at 15 days interval, fenpyroximate 5 EC at both the doses @ 25 g a.i. /ha and 37.5 g a.i. / ha were found as effective as standard check imidacloprid 17.8 SL in leaf hopper control. For spider mites, fenpyroximate @ 37.5 g a.i. / ha was found as effective as standard check dicofol 18.5 EC sprayed twice at 15 days interval when mite populations was moderate (>10 mites/ leaf) during later stage of the crop. Between the two doses of fenpyroximate, lower dose (25 g a.i. / ha) was better for predator populations. Maximum seed cotton yield was obtained in fenpyroximate 5 EC @ 25 g a.i. / ha. No phytotoxicity symptoms were formed at higher dose viz., 37.5, 75 and 150 g a.i. /ha.

Key Words: Cotton, Fenpyroximate, Imidacloprid, Dicofol, Leafhoppers, Spider mites, Predators

How to cite this paper: Desai, H.R., Sojitra, R.S., Patel, C.J., Maisuria, I.M. and Kumar, V. (2014). Field evaluation for bio-efficacy of fenpyroximate 5 EC against leaf hopper and spider mite infesting cotton and their safety to natural enemies. Adv. Res. J. Crop Improv., 5 (2): 172-175.

Paper History: Received: 23.10.2014; Revised: 10.11.2014; Accepted: 21.11.2014